

G R. S. App F IX-4]

1947 - 48

File No 43-47

Previous References

ml 103-42 (813)

(ml)

Serial Nos 11, 12

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Two

Part B for

March 1948

SUBJECT

Repairs to the fort wall at Dyana
Gorlu Nalamangala
Mazamangala Taluk

K 1440-12 - Q 13 3 48

Dec B' low

Director for
C.E. for Dyana
Camp/killer
proposals in the air

Re Launching the

From the D C Sangalim

NO 76-3055 & 7/5/46

P. Refers Requests sanction to the
revised estimate for Rs 2430/-
for the repairs to the Fort ^{well} ~~table~~
~~sal~~ ~~T~~ ~~m~~ ~~a~~ ~~g~~ ~~n~~ ~~d~~ ~~l~~ ~~w~~ and to a
gr^{ve} grant of Rs 924/- in this behalf

1) ~~for~~ ~~gr~~ ~~ve have already given,
a sum of Rs 1290/- towards the
original cost of Rs 1581/- for the
above work. The revised estimate is for
Rs 2430/- Now the ^{final} ~~cost~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~work~~ ~~is~~ ~~as~~ ~~per~~ ~~the~~ ~~bill~~ ~~of~~ ~~materials~~ ~~and~~ ~~labour~~ ~~as~~ ~~recommended~~ ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~Executive~~ ~~Engineer~~, amounts to Rs 2503-7-6
The excess cost involved by Rs 924/-
is again prayed for as an ~~out~~ ~~right~~ ~~grant~~~~

Recd - 28815/-
Exp - 18,824/-
= 9,991/-

2) The financial position of
the M.C. for the year 45-46 is
satisfactory. There is a closing
balance of Rs 9991/-

3) During the current year

8036/L Repairs to Fort wall at Tyamagundam
Sum of Rs 1,500/- has been been
given to the mlt for damage
work out of the T & Grants

4) In the above circumstances, the revised
estimate for Rs 2430/- for repairs to
the Fort wall at Tyamagundam may
be sanctioned and a sum of
Rs 461/- may be given. Towards
half the excess cost involved, the
other half being ordered to be met by
the mlt from out of the closing
balance of the mlt

5) Submitted for orders with a draft letter
to the DC Kuvempu regarding the same

ma 23/5

6) New Seely for
28/5/46

7) (Sone) for
24/5/46



to,

the DC Sangalim area

L 13418 & 20/5/46

calls for certain information

Sr A
ma 27/6

12) A draft is sent for approval, calling

reconciliation of these errors

13/4 13) The ^{final} bill for Rs 2503-7-6 referred to, the D_e is flagged L. The municipal council have, at their meeting held on 29/1/46 resolved that ^{half} the amount of the expenses what has already been paid to the contractor may be met by the municipal council, the balance being met by Govt. There is some difference between the figures given by the m_ul council & the D_e. The figures furnished by the D_e seem to be correct, he states that, against Rs 2503-7-6 due to the contractor, a sum of Rs 1290 ~~was~~ paid by Govt has already been paid, leaving a sum of Rs 1,213-7-6 still to be paid. The D_e recommends that this may be met equally by the m_ul council & Govt as a special case. This is for orders.

10/4

13/4

14) A brief note on the facts of the case & the points for orders is necessary in this case. It is submitted

7/5/47 15) In G.O. no L 7214-17/dt 50-41-104, the Govt sanctioned a grant of Rs 1,000/- dated 11-2-42, for the water supply grant for 1941-42 & for

8344

effecting certain urgent repairs to the drinking water well at the far end of the Thyamagondlu Minor mty

Flag B/ 16) G.O. No. 1449-52/ml 103-42-13 dated 4-8-43 Govt sanctioned a revised estimate for Rs 1,581/- for the work, the excess cost of Rs 581/- over Rs 1000/- already sanctioned being met by Govt and the ml council in equal proportions

17) The D.C. ~~reports~~ B'lore Dist. reports that the work was completed in the year 1944-45, through the municipal agency and the Ex Engr B'lore division reimbursed the bill in this behalf and recommended the passing of the final bill for Rs 2503 7-6 as per actual execution of the work 1.7

Flag D/ 18) The Thyamagondlu minor ml council in their meeting held on 29-11-46 resolved the following - 2

- i) Sanction the estimate for Rs 2503 7-6 for the work as per actual execution of the work and as certified by the Executive Engineer B'lore Dist.
- ii) Resolved that the difference between the final estimate (for Rs 2503-7-6) and the original estimate (for Rs 920/-) viz Rs 1583-7-6 is the extra cost of the work

The difference of the final estimate for Rs 2503 7-6 and the revised estimate for Rs 1581/-, sanctioned in G.O. dated 4-8-43 amounts to only Rs 922-7-6

- iii) Resolved that an amount of Rs 1,290/- so far granted for by Govt for the work be treated as Govt grant (this amount has been drawn by the mty & paid to the contractor)

- iv) Resolved that although the financial position of the mty is not satisfactory, 50% of the extra cost amounting to Rs 791-11-9 be paid by the mty 2

19) The final estimate for the work is for Rs 2503-7-6. The revised estimate sanctioned in G.O. dated 4-8-43 for Rs 1,581/- The net difference between these two is only Rs 922-7-6

9416 / 8345

Govt have already paid a sum of Rs 1,290/- towards the work if Govt agree to pay half of the extra cost, it amounts to Rs 461-3-9

Therefore the points for orders are:

- i) Sanction to the final estimate) bill for Rs 2803 7 6
- ii) Sanction to meet the excess cost of Rs 922-7-6 equally by Govt & the mty
- iii) Sanction of a Govt grant of Rs 461/- out of water supply grants for 46-47, being half its share of excess cost

P/5

20) It is difficult to understand the implications of the proposals there is much confusion Pl imp up with requests about the financial position of the mty for 1946-47

21) Sdlt Ld a draft calling for a copy of the budget of the mty for 46 47 for 3/5/47

P/5

From D C B'lor Sdlt
Forwarded no L 15627/76 47
From the D C B'lor Sdlt
20 116-3055/45-46 D/12 5 47

22) Sdlt
3/5/47

Forward a copy of the Budget

23) The financial position of the mty as per budget for 46 47 is,

opening balance	Rs 6367/-
Receipts	Rs 21,654/-
Total	Rs 28,021/-
Expenditure	Rs 21,530/-
Closing Balance	Rs 6,491/-

P/5
17/5

Subd

diag A(1)

diag A

diag B & C

diag D

24) The estimate for Rs 920/- was sanctioned for the above well work during 41-42 for which an ⁺enough grant of Rs 1,000/- was sanctioned by Engr under water supply grants. Subsequently a revised estimate for Rs 1501/- was submitted to Engr but sanction with a grant request for addl grants. The revised estimate was also sanctioned by Engr with an additional grant of Rs 290/- dated 4-8-1943 noting to return extra items of work done, a ^{furth} revised estimate for Rs 2,560/- was prepared. In the course of the execution of the work, extra provision has been made for bapling water on basis of nominal roll. The ex engineer has submitted the estimate for Rs 2430/- only and has absconded with the payment of current for

nominal roll in respect of
bapling water is left to the
discretion of the DC as
per actual measure-ments,
As the final bill is prepared
for Rs 2,503-7-6

25) The work has been completed ~~in~~
nearly two years ago the
contractor has not been paid
the final bill He has been
paid so far only a sum Rs 1242-6-0
A sum of Rs 1261-4-6 (2503-7-6 - 1242-6-0)
is due to the contractor W

26) The Deputy Commr recommends
that a sum of Rs 606-11-9 may
be sanctioned by Govt and
the final bill ordered to be
passed

27) This means that out of
the total cost of Rs 2,503-7-6
Govt share of contribution
would be Rs 1,896-11-9 including
the grant of Rs 606-11-9 now asked
but while the remaining
contribution would be only Rs 606-11-9

Contd

The measurements have been checked by the Auditor. The Municipal Council Head ~~has~~ ^{is} liable to pay the entire amount due to the contractor. He recommends that an additional grant of Rs 66,119 may be made by Govt and ^{states that} an equal amount will be paid by the Municipality.

28) The Municipality ^{has not} presented a closing balance of Rs 6,491/- for 1946-47.

29) In view of the fact that the claims of the contractor are pending settlement from a long time the recommendation of the Govt is for orders.

30) It is usual in cases of work records that a comparative estimate is prepared showing in detail the items in respect of which extra provisions are made necessitating the revised estimate. This has not been done.

in this case sent as it is
has been issued but extremely
it is for consideration of the
recommendation be approved

para 31) An enquiry may be made as
to why the TLF should not pay
the amount due to the contractor, as the
firm have already contributed a sum of Rs 1297
What is their financial position as of 1947
w/d for 1947-48?
Have the decorations for the final estimate
been paid? explain for comparative statement
for that the necessity for the revised estimate
obtained 2 statements, 9 has the final bill
been checked with reference to the statement
book where has not been taken into account?


for 14/8/47

22) The financial position of the mty in
the budget for 1947-48 is as follows -

opening balance	Rs 6,491/-
Receipts	Rs 27,310/-
Total	Rs 33,801/-
Expenditure	Rs 26,559/-
closing balance	Rs 7,242/-

23) Subd with a draft, calling for the
information as at para 31) above for approval
19/8/47

Reviewed 19/8/47
34) Issue
22/8/47



Report to the Fort bill at Thyamagondlu

Continuation Sheet

File No
Current No

of

9416 ✓ 4311/12
m/o Page

Sub B
28/8

To
Sh. G. C. B. he dot
No. L. 2272/20-8 47
Call for certain information

From

The Dy Commr Bangalore Sh.
No. N. 6 3055/45-46 of 21 1 48

Furnishes the information called for

11/2

35) It is stated that the cash balance of Rs 3000/-
available with the old chis
hardly adequate to pay up the amount
due to the Contractor and that the Municipal
Council has again resolved on 22-12-47 to
pay half the excess of Rs 1213-7-6 and Request
Govt to meet the remaining half of

Flag "F"

Rs 606 11-9

3

36) The need for the deviation from the
original estimate is explained to be due
to the widening of the Reservoir work
on account of loose soil, resulting in
a heavy increase of cost

37) The president has checked the final
bill on 29th 45 (measurement book placed
below)

38) Sub for order - Reference para 31 Supra

29/1/48
Pr
20148

Flag H
(measurement book)

37) A revised estimate for Rs 1587/-
was sanctioned for the well known at
Thopamogunda. Flag is
collected & placed
below

40) The final bill for the work
has come up to Rs. 2508/7/6
out of this, the Govt have sanctioned
a sum of Rs 1290/-

and have paid the contractor a
sum of Rs 1242/6/- leaving a balance
of Rs 47/1/- out of Govt funds

41) The proposal now is that in addition
to the 1290/- already paid the Govt
may pay an additional sum of

Rs $\frac{2508-7-6}{2} = 1290/0/-$ $\frac{12137}{2}$

Rs 606/11/9, which is equal to
half the balance yet due to the
contractor

The Govt have
agreed to meet the balance of Rs 606/11/9
to settle the contractor's claim

42) The financial position of the
WD 01570-GPB-500 pads of 100 sheets each-12 2 6
- 11 - is said to be satisfactory

1/3) In the above circles, Sanction may be accorded to the following —

G

1) revised estimate for Rs 250 3/7/6 for the well work

2) Payment of a 9th grant of Rs 607/- out of the water supply

the balance for the year 1947-48, the balance being ordered to be met out of the closing balance of the municipality (Per secy) for 30/1/48

1/1/2

In the above circumstances Proposals 122 in para 43 supra may be sanctioned

20/2

Minister
L.S.G.
Thero, Fin Secy)

7/2/48

5226
7/2

THE
LOCAL SELF GOV. T. ENT

No 1224

Date 2/2/48

Sanctioned
20/2/48

for

14/2
for

26 EB 48

Off G.O. a note up for approval
Issue
Pl. archive in the water supply
department register for

26/2/48

4311
mt

20

The D.C. B'lore H-

The D.R.H.


The C.E. for ~~Research~~ Surge 2

The Comptroller

S.O. No. L 14480-3 / ^{ad 43-47-2} ~~4-3-108~~
11-3-42

B
13-3-48
P

Sanctions-----



No

M 6-3055
usubOffice of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated 9th May 1946not received
for Mr.To
J B Mallaradhya Esq., M A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BangaloreSub - Repairs to the Fort well at Tyamagondlu
Nelamangala Taluk

I have the honor to forward herewith the connected file of records relating to the above subject and to state that on the recommendation of this office (vide M 6 3935/42 dated 25-6-43) the revised estimate for effecting repairs to the above well was sanctioned by Government in their order No R 1449 52/M 103 42 13 dated 9-8-43 for Rs 1581/- agreeing to meet half the excess cost over and above Rs 1000/- which the Government gave as out-right grant from out of the water supply funds for the year 41 42

The work was completed through the Municipal Agency in the year 44 45 under the supervision of the Sanitary Engineering Department who have certified as to the satisfactory execution of the work after inspecting the same on 19 7 45

The Local Sub Overseer has prepared a final bill for Rs 1585/- as per actual execution of the work and submitted the same for sanction

The 2nd revised estimate which was sent to the Executive Engineer, Bangalore, has been returned duly scrutinised for Rs 2430/- as per actual execution of the work allowing the rates of the respective years in which the work was executed and he recommends that the final bill which has been checked by him may be passed for Rs 2503-7-6

Since this has exceeded the sanctioned amount, the local Municipal Council was asked to meet the balance of the cost out of the Municipal Funds

The Municipal Council in their meeting held on 30 1 46 have resolved to request the Government to give an additional grant in order to meet the extra cost in view of the poor financial condition of the Municipality which cannot afford to contribute anything towards the excess cost incurred in this behalf

In these circumstances I request that Government may be moved to sanction the 2nd revised estimate duly scrutinised by the Executive Engineer for Rs 2430/- and to give an additional out-right grant of Rs 922/- treating this as a special case in order to meet the bill of the contractor who has completed the well work long back and who is urging for the payment of the amounts

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. K. Reddy
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District
(absent on tour)

2503-7-6
12426-0
1261-6
2503 7-6
1251-8-0

2430
424
2430
1581
849
2503
1581
922

2503
1581
922

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

13418 & 30 5 46
WTC
The D C Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to
your letter no 176-3053
of 9/5/46 reporting
sanction to a
grant of Rs 922/
towards the excess
cost involved in respect
of the repairs to
the Fort bell at
Tyanagondlu, I am
directed to request
you to intimate
if half of the excess
cost of the work may
not be met out of the
clump funds of the
local self in view of
the fact that the
financial position


of the bill it is satisfactory
- with the anticipated closing balance

of Rs 9,991/- and that Govt
have already grown ^{so far} a total
sum of Rs 1290/- towards the
original estimate for Rs 1580/-
in this behalf

Recross a company
for reference & return.

Shawchi

from Govt 24/57
Shawchi



No M 6 3055/45 46

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District
Dated 12th July 1946

To

J B Mallaradhya Esq, M A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Sub:- Repairs to the Fort Well at Tyamagondu
Nelamangala Taluk

2
Mr. Mallaradhya (10/17)
16/7/46
10/17
10/17

With reference to your letter No L 13418/V/R dated 30th May 1946, enquiring if the Municipal Council Tyamagondu is willing to meet half of the extra cost, I have the honor to state that the Municipal Council have in their meeting held on 28 6 46 resolved to meet $\frac{1}{4}$ of the extra cost and to request the Government to sanction the balance as an out-right grant

As the financial position of the Municipality is not sound and as the Municipality has to maintain a Municipal High School with limited resources, I request that the Government may be kindly moved to sanction an out-right grant of Rs 744/- being the $\frac{3}{4}$ of the extra cost for the purpose treating this as a special case, in order to meet the bill of the contractor who has completed the work long back

The connected file of papers accompany for favour of reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner I/C
Bangalore District

T M C

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

L 1485/R

To, 8317 UB

The D C Bangalore

Sir,

with reference
to the correspondence
concerning with your
letter no 176 3055/45
212/7/46 on the
repairs done to
the Fort well at
Gyanagudlu, I am
directed to request
you to furnish infor-
mation on the following
points - within a week

1) Whether it
is not necessary to
sanction the estimate
for the work for Rs 2503-
7-6 as per actual
~~with~~ execution of
the work as certified
by the Executive Engineer, Gyanagudlu

2) What is the total extra cost involved by the running of the estimate, as per final bill approved by the Executive Engineer

3) What is the ^{exact} amount so far given towards the cost of the work by the local m/c

~~4) Whether the m/c cannot pay up & can bear at least 1/4 the entire cost of the work~~

The above information

may kindly be furnished within a week

together with the final bill for Rs 2503-7-6 duly ~~signed~~ countersigned by the Executive Engineer

for Records a/c company for reference & return

I have etc
from
of Revuey

By what is the financial condition of m/c
it not meet
half the cost
cost over the
surplus 1980/-
already provided
first for the
work

ME 3055/45-46


Office of the Deputy-Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, the 2nd April 1947

To

ml *Page for [illegible]*
mm *1*
H N PALLGAR ESQ M A
Secretary to the
Revenue Depo

H N PALLISGAR ESQ M A ,LL B ,
Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

8344



Sub: Repairs to the Fort Well at Tyemagondiu
Nalamangala Taluk

With reference to your letter No L 1485/R dated 31-7-46 calling for certain particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the resolution dated 29-11-46 of Tyamagondiu Municipal Council agreeing to meet $\frac{1}{2}$ the extra cost involved for the above work by the revision of the estimate. As per the Executive Engineer's letter No T 539 dated 3-2-47 the estimate has been revised to Rs 2560 as against the original estimate for Rs 920. The final bill is for Rs 2503-7-6 which is ~~Rs 2560~~ within the scrutinised revised estimate. As much the revised estimate for Rs 2560 and the final bill for Rs 2503-7-6 may kindly be sanctioned. The total extra cost involved being Rs 1583-7-6. Out of the above cost of Rs 2503-7-6 a sum of Rs 1242-6-0 has already been paid to the contractor out of the Government grant of Rs 1290/-. The Executive Engineer was requested to countersign the final bill but he has replied that it should be done only by the Officer who has check measured the work. The Amildar President has check measured the work and has certified on the final bill. The Municipal Council has agreed to meet $\frac{1}{2}$ the cost of over and above the Government grant of Rs 1290 which comes to Rs 606-11-9. In the circumstances I request that the bill may kindly be passed and a further grant of Rs 606-11-9 being $\frac{1}{2}$ of the extra cost over and above the Government grant of Rs 1290/ already granted may kindly be sanctioned as a special case.

The connected records accompany for favour of reference and return
P T O

The connected records accompany for favour of reference,
and return

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant



DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

No L 5312-4
dt 28 8/1947
To
The D C B'lore

Sr,
Subject: - Oapannu to the
Ful Well at Thyamagondlu,
Nelamangala Taluk

With refer to the corres-
pondence ending with your
letter no M6 3055/45-46
dated 2-4 47 on the above
subject, I am pleased to
request you to furnish
the information on the
following points and furnish
the necessary records as
requested thereon -

- 1) Why the mth should
not pay the amount due to
the contractor, as the Govt
have already contributed a
sum of Rs 1,290/- in
this behalf?
- 2) Have the deviations from
the original estimate been

2) Why?
a

properly explained and a comparative statement ~~has~~ to show the necessity for the revised estimate obtained and submitted?

iii) Has the ~~financial~~ ^{final} bill been checked with Refe to the measurement book which has not been submitted to the Govt?

Records accompanying Refe and retransmission very early -


I have etc,

from ~~the~~ ^R S 25/8/47
R. S. Sanyal

MG 3055/45-46

Ent one full HB

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, the 22st Jany 1948



To

S ABDUL ALEEM, Esq, B A,
Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

23/11
Sir,

Sub Repairs to the fort well at Thyamagondlu
Nelamangala Taluk

With reference to your letter No L 3372 dated 28th August 1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith the report No HC 59/47-48 dated 25th Decr 1947 received from the President Thyamagondlu Municipality and to state as follows:

Regarding the first point raised in your letter under reference, it is reported that at present there is a cash balance of about Rs 3000/- and that the same is hardly sufficient to meet the expenditure for which provision is made in the Budget and as such the municipality is not in a position to meet this item of expenditure amounting to Rs 1213-7-6. The Municipal council have however resolved at their meeting held on 22.12.47 that they would pay half of amount of Rs 1213-7-6 and request that the Government may be pleased to pay Rs 606-11-9 the remaining amount as a grant.

As regards the second point raised in your letter it is reported that deviation from the original estimate was necessary on account of the widening of the revetment work on account of loose soil resulting in the heavy increase in the cost. The revised estimates have been scrutinised both by the Sanitary Department and the Public Works Department.

As regards the third point it is reported that the measurements were checked by the President of the Municipality on 29.9.45 in token of which he has affixed his signature in the measurement Book.

The connected records and the relative measurements accompany

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

CS

21/1

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

4311/ml

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

118

5 Copies

Section

File No

Serial No

ml
43-47
12

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue

193

Signed by

May this be—

- (i) printed
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette
English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette
Kannada Part?

Read— G O No 1449 52/ml 103-42

- 13 of 4-8-1943 sanctioning

a revised estimate for Rs 1,581/

for effecting repairs to the

Port well at Jagawagandhu and

agreeing to meet half the ^{excess} cost

over and above Rs 1,000/ free

as outright grant ~~from~~ under

Order thereon—No the water supply grant

for the year 41-42

2) Correspondence ending

with letter No 146-8055/45-46

dated 21-1-48 from the

Dy Commr, Bangalore Sh-

stating that the final bill

as per actual execution of the above

work which was got done through
 the Municipal agency has ~~been~~ amounted
 up to Rs 2503 7-6, that the
 work has been estimated by the
 Ex Engr Bangalore on the
 account to Rs 2,860/- allowing
 the Schedule Rates of the respective
 years in which the work was
 executed and Requesting, in the
 Circumstances Stated, Sanction to
 (i) the Revised estimate for Rs 2560/-
 and the final bill for Rs 2503-7-6
 in respect of ^{the} work ^{and} (ii) the payment
 of a work grant of Rs 607/- out of
 the Water Supply Grant ^{for} the current
 year towards meeting half the ^{value of the} work
 cost of the work, the other half
 being met out of the closing balance
 of the Municipality

List of Enclosure

Order no L 14480-3/11-3-48
 Sanctioned A sum of Rs 607/- will be given
 out of the water supply grant for the year 1948-49
 for the work done by the Municipality
 Examined by *[Signature]*

- 1) The DC - Bhe Sh with Record
- 2) The D P H
- 3) The E E to Sanction
- 4) The Comptroller to Govt

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

I T A D:-

Government Order No. 1448-52/11 103- 2-13 dated 23-1-43 sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs. 1,531/- for effecting repairs to the bore well at Thyaragonilu and a grant to meet half the excess cost over and above Rs 1,000/- given as out right grant under the "Water Supply Grants" for the year 1941-42.

2. For reference with letter No. 6-3055/45-46 dated 21-1-43 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that the final bill as per actual execution of the above work which has got done through the municipal agency, has amounted to Rs. 2,503-7-6, that the work has been estimated by the Executive, Bangalore Division to amount to Rs. 2,500/- allowing the schedule rates of the respective years in which the work was executed and requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to (1) the revised estimate for Rs 2,500/- and the final bill for Rs 2503-7-6 in respect of the said work and (2) the payment of a Government grant of Rs 607/- out of the water supply grant during the current year towards meeting half the balance of the cost of the work, yet due to the contractor, the other half being met out of the closing balances of the Municipality.

O R D E R 14480-52/11 103- 2-13 - 47-12

Bangalore, Dated, the 11th March 1943.

S a n c t i o n e d. A sum of Rs 607/- will be given as a grant out of the Water Supply Grant for 1942-43 to the Thyaragonilu Municipality for the said work.

[Signature]

10-The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with reference to the above.
The Director of Public Works.
The Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.

24/1
31/